



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/070,938	06/04/2002	Shinichiro Morita	SAEG108.001APC	4758
20995	7590 09/08/2004		EXAM	INER
KNOBBE N	MARTENS OLSON & E	NAFF, D.	NAFF, DAVID M	
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA			1651	
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/070,938	MORITA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>			
		David M. Naff	1651				
	The MAILING DATE of this communica			ddress			
Period fo	Period for Reply						
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statution reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma cation. lays, a reply within the statutory minimum o ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) , by statute, cause the application to becom	ay a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>04 Jun</i> e 2002.					
2a)□		☐ This action is non-final.					
3)							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the app	olication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) 又	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority do		n Application No				
	$3. \square$ Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have be	een received in this Nationa	l Stage			
	application from the Internationa	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action f	or a list of the certified copies	not received.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
· <u> </u>	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	•	ew Summary (PTO-413)				
<i>'</i> ===	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT	'O-152)			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/10&9/17/02</u> .	6) Other:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,			

Application/Control Number: 10/070,938 Page 2

Art Unit: 1651

5

10

15

20

25

DETAILED ACTION

Claims examined on the merits 1-11 which are all claims in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Naughton et al (5,863,531) in view of Vyakarnam et al (6,534,084 B1) taken with Hinsch et al (EP 0 274 898) (listed on form 1449) and Japanese patent 3-23864 (listed on form 1449).

Art Unit: 1651

10

15

20

The claims are drawn to a matrix for culturing cardiovascular cells to regenerate cardiovascular tissue comprising a sponge made of a bioabsorbable material and a reinforcement made of a bioabsorbable material. Also claimed is a method of culturing cardiovascular cells to regenerate cardiovascular tissue by seeding cells on the matrix and culturing the cells. The sponge has a pore diameter of about 5-100 μm .

Naughton et al disclose producing tissue *in vitro* by seeding cells on a three-dimensional structure having interstitial spaces which can be used to form tubular tissue structures (col 6, lines 55-60 and col 22, line 41) such as in the form of blood vessels (col 24, line 33), arteries (col 24, line 37) or veins (col 25, line 24). The three dimensional structure can be made of biodegradable material such as polyglycolic acid, polylactic acid or polyglycolic acid copolymer (col 9, lines 60-62). The three-dimensional structure can be made of a sponge (col 9, line 42).

Vyakarnam et al disclose foam structures that can be composed of copolymers of lactide such as a poly(L) lactide-co-E-caprolactone (col 6, line 45, col 9, lines 53-55 and col 12, lines 5-9), and which can be used to regenerate tissue such as tubular structures such as vascular grafts (col 3, lines 1 and 20-21, and col 9, lines 19-24). The pore size of the foam can be 30-50 μ m or 100-200 μ m (paragraph bridging cols 4 and 5). The foam can be reinforced with fibers (col 6, line 40).

Application/Control Number: 10/070,938 Page 4

Art Unit: 1651

10

15

20

25

Hinsch et al disclose a porous implant having a pore size of 10-200 μ m for the growth of blood vessels in the form of a foam made of a resorbable polymer such as a copolymer of glycolide and lactide (page 4, lines 1-8). The foam may contain textile reinforcing elements such as fibers or knitted fabrics (page 3, lines 8-13).

The Japanese patent discloses a reinforced collagen sponge for implanting in tissue. The sponge is reinforced with fibers made of poly-L-lactic acid.

It would have been obvious to form the biodegradable polyglycolic acid copolymer tubular structures of Naughton et al with the biodegradable foam of Vyakarnam et al that is a copolymer of glycolide and lactide since this foam can be used for producing a tubular structure and has advantageous properties. It would have been further obvious to reinforce the foam with fibers as suggested by Hinsch et al and the Japanese patent using fibers to reinforce a foam implant. would have been obvious to use fibers formed of poly-L-lactic acid as taught by the Japanese patent so that both the foam and fibers are bioabsorbable. Using polyglycolic acid as in claim 4 to form the fibers would have been a matter of obvious choice. A foam as disclosed by the references is a sponge. When forming the tubular structures of Naughton et al, cardiovascular cells are used and the tissue produced is cardiovascular tissue. The conditions of dependent claims would have been obvious from the disclosures of the references. Structures other than tubular structures such as a cardiac valve or pericardium as in certain dependent claims would have been obvious

Application/Control Number: 10/070,938 Page 5

Art Unit: 1651

5

10

15

20

from the many different structures disclosed by Naughton et al. Using two or more different cells as in claim 11 would have been obvious from Naughton et al using different types of cells together.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David M. Naff whose telephone number is 571-272-0920. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David M. Naff Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651